## ATEP: A phase space resolved transport model for energetic particles

Ph. Lauber<sup>1</sup>, M. Falessi<sup>2</sup>, G. Meng<sup>1</sup>, T. Hayward-Schneider<sup>1</sup>, N. Chen <sup>1</sup>, V.-A. Popa<sup>1</sup>, T. Sterkl<sup>3</sup>, R.Stucchi<sup>1</sup>, F. Zonca<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany email: philipp.lauber@ipp.mpg.de

In addition to increasingly realistic non-linear global simulations [1], a hierarchy of theory-based reduced models is needed to complement the predictions concerning the performance of future burning plasmas. Large parameter scans, sensitivity studies and multi-scale physics connecting energetic particle transport with neoclassical (transport) time scales require tools that go beyond what is presently feasible with first-principles numerical codes.

In the view of this challenge we report in this work on the implementation and verification of a phase-space resolved energetic particle (EP) transport model [2, 3]. It is based on the Phase Space Zonal Structure transport theory [4, 5, 6, 7], which extends the conventional transport equations into Phase Space and consistently evolves a (nonlinear) equilibrium referred to as the Zonal State. Its focus is primarily directed toward understanding the meso-scopic character of EPs and its consequences [8]. Compared to the conventional description of thermal radial transport via a one-dimensional radial diffusion equation, the newly developed model is three-dimensional using canonical constants-of-motion (CoM) variables. The model does not assume diffusive processes to be dominant a priori, instead the EP fluxes are self-consistently calculated and directly evolved in CoM space. A Fokker-Planck collision operator with orbit-averaged numerical coefficients evaluated on the same CoM coordinate grid allows us to treat EP sources and wave-induced transport consistently on background transport and EP slowing-down time scales. The code named ATEP-3D is fully embedded in ITER IMAS framework and closely connected to the EP-Stability workflow [9]. The workflow contains a hierarchy of analytical, local and global models that as been automated and tested for an extended set of different Alfvénic instabilities in various Tokamak geometries. As a first application, the phase space fluxes are determined either in the limit of constant mode

As a first application, the phase space fluxes are determined either in the limit of constant mode amplitudes (kick-limit), or an energy-conserving quasi-linear model. Single and multi-mode cases addressing the transition from isolated to overlapping resonances are discussed and compared with ORB5 [10, 11]. Non-linearly evolving beat-driven zonal fields are included using an analytical model [12] for radial mode structure and saturation. Various strategies and first steps how to couple the workflow to 1-d transport codes are reported.

Acknowledgements. - This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No. 101052200-EUROfusion), within the framework of the Enabling research project 'ATEP'. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

## References

- [1] A Mishchenko et al 2025 Proc. 30th IAEA FEC, Chengdu, China (2025)
- [2] Lauber P, Falessi M, Meng G, Hayward-Schneider T, Popa V A, Zonca F and Schneider M 2024 Nuclear Fusion 64 096010
- [3] Meng G, Lauber P, Lu Z, Bergmann A and Schneider M 2024 Nuclear Fusion
- [4] Falessi M V and Zonca F 2019 Physics of Plasmas 26 022305
- [5] Zonca F, Chen L, Falessi M V and Qiu Z 2021 Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1785 012005
- [6] Falessi M V, Chen L, Qiu Z and Zonca F 2023 New Journal of Physics 25 123035
- [7] F Zonca et al 2025 Proc. 30th IAEA FEC, Chengdu, China (2025)
- [8] Chen L and Zonca F 2016 Rev. Mod. Phys. 88(1) 015008
- [9] Popa V A, Lauber P, Hayward-Schneider T, Schneider M, Hoenen O and Pinches S 2023 Nuclear Fusion 63 126008
- [10] Lanti E, Ohana N, Tronko N, Hayward-Schneider T, Bottino A, McMillan B, Mishchenko A, Scheinberg A, Biancalani A, Angelino P, Brunner S, Dominski J, Donnel P, Gheller C, Hatzky R, Jocksch A, Jolliet S, Lu Z, Martin Collar J, Novikau I, Sonnendr Ä Ecker E, Vernay T and Villard L 2020 Computer Physics Communications 251 107072
- [11] Bottino A, Falessi M, Hayward-Schneider T, Biancalani A, Briguglio S, Hatzky R, Lauber P, Mishchenko A, Poli E, Rettino B, Vannini F, Wang X and Zonca F 2022 Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2397 012019
- [12] Qiu Z, Chen L and Zonca F 2017 Nuclear Fusion 57 056017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ENEA, Fusion and Nuclear Safety Department, C. R. Frascati, I-00044 Frascati (Rome), Italy <sup>3</sup> Institut für Angewandte Physik, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, 1040 Wien, Österreich